

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/903,081	07/10/2001	Richard E. Demaray	M-11522 US	1225	
7590 03/17/2005			EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW			HOFFMANN, JOHN M		
GARETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3315		1731		
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/903,081	DEMARAY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John Hoffmann	1731				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
THE - Extended - If th - If NO - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	March 2005					
2a)□							
3)	· y						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 14-20,24-27 and 29 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 14-20,24-27 and 29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers		8				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the E		, ,				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Apporting documents have been received in Apporting the secondary of the secondary is an arranged in the secondary in the secondary is an arranged in the secondary in the secondary is an arranged in the secondary in the secondary is an arranged in the secondary in the secondary is an arranged in the secondary in the secondary is a secondary in the secondary in	oplication No received in this National Stage	X - X			
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	V .			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/10/01</u> .	6)		<u> </u>			

Application/Control Number: 09/903,081

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10 March has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Examiner could find no support for amended claim 27, specifically – the etching of the waver under the layer. This is deemed to be a prima facie showing on failure to comply with the requirement. The burden is now on Applicant to show the requirement is complied with, or to amend the claims so that they comply.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,081

Art Unit: 1731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nourshargh 4619680.

Figure 2(b) of Nourshargh discloses the step of "forming a ridge structure..."

Figure 2(c) represents the depositing a core layer. Figure 2(d) represents the step of depositing an upper cladding layer (15). The refractive index limitations are disclosed at col. 2, lines 20-28. It is clear that the core is not separately pattern because the second layer is "immediately deposited". The would be no opportunity for patterning or anything else if the layer was immediately deposited. The rest of the limitations are clearly met.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 09/903,081

Art Unit: 1731

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 15-17, 24-26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawaguchi 6605228 in view of Klein 3850604 and Nourshargh 4619680.

Kawaguchi and Klein are combined as indicated in the prior Office action. The only differences are newly added limitations which exclude separate patterning, and which require a core layer deposit remains on the ridge portion.

Nourshargh discloses that there are two modes of forming core in cladding structures in planar optical waveguides. See col. 1, lines 38-50 and figures 2 and 3 of Nourshargh which discloses "removal of the rest of the first layer is not required", and

Art Unit: 1731

that "the second layer of glass can be immediately deposited". It would have one been obvious to form the Kawaguchi waveguide by using the Nourshargh mode of figure 2, so that one can immediately form the second layer over the first layer.

It is noted that Nourshargh figure 2 has the sequence: A) forming the pattern, B) forming core layer, C) forming overclad layer. But the figure 3 mode has the step in a different order, namely B, A, C.

From MPEP 2144.04

C. Changes in Sequence of Adding Ingredients
Ex parte Rubin , 128 USPQ 440 (Bd. App. 1959) (Prior art reference disclosing a process of making a laminated sheet wherein a base sheet is first coated with a metallic film and thereafter impregnated with a thermosetting material was held to render prima facie obvious claims directed to a process of making a laminated sheet by reversing the order of the prior art process steps.). See also In re Burhans, 154 F.2d 690, 69 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1946) (selection of any order of performing process steps is prima facie obvious in the absence of new or unexpected results); In re Gibson, 39 F.2d 975, 5 USPQ 230 (CCPA 1930) (Selection of any order of mixing ingredients is prima facie obvious.).

See the prior Office Action for the manner in which the rest of the claim limitations are met.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawaguchi in view of Klein 3850604, Nourshargh and Kestigian 4915810.

See above and the prior Office Action for the manner in which the all the claim limitations are met.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Regarding the IDS it is argued that a full date is not always required. This is not convincing because the requirements for the exception have not been met. It appears that Applicant tried to meet the requirement by providing a statement *similar* to what would be sufficient; it is insufficient because the statement is NOT in an IDS.

Furthermore the statement would have been insufficient because the statement has a qualification. Applicant's statement is limited to only 35 USC 102(a); there is no basis for having a statement that is limited.

As to the prior art rejections, the arguments were convincing. However the relevant changes to the claims do not define a patentable invention. See above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hoffmann whose telephone number is (571) 272 1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00- 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact/the/Electronic

offmation
Examiner 3-16-05

jmh